

What Model companionship can say about the Continuum problem

Matteo Viale

Dipartimento di Matematica Università di Torino

Logique à Paris - Paris - 9/5/2023

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨト

1/38

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Section 1

Basics on the Continuum problem

<ロト 4 部 ト 4 言 ト 4 言 ト 言 の Q (や 2/38

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Given sets X, Y

Cardinality

- |X| is the (proper) class { $Y : \exists f : X \rightarrow Y$ bijection};
- |X| ≤ |Y| iff there is f : X → Y injection iff there is g : Y → X surjection;

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

3/38

• |X| < |Y| iff $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|X| \ne |Y|$.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Given sets X, Y

Cardinality

- |X| is the (proper) class { $Y : \exists f : X \rightarrow Y$ bijection};
- |X| ≤ |Y| iff there is f : X → Y injection iff there is g : Y → X surjection;
- |X| < |Y| iff $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|X| \ne |Y|$.

Cardinal arithmetic in a nutshell

|X| ≤ |Y| and |Y| ≤ |X| iff |X| = |Y| (Cantor 1887, Bernstein 1897, Dedekind 1898).

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00		000

- |X| is the (proper) class { $Y : \exists f : X \rightarrow Y$ bijection};
- |X| ≤ |Y| iff there is f : X → Y injection iff there is g : Y → X surjection;
- |X| < |Y| iff $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|X| \ne |Y|$.

- $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|Y| \le |X|$ iff |X| = |Y| (Cantor 1887, Bernstein 1897, Dedekind 1898).
 - $|[0; 1]| \le |(0; 1)|$ and $|[0; 1]| \ge |(0; 1)|$ witnessed by continuous functions.
 - $f: [0; 1] \rightarrow (0; 1)$ bijection, f is not continuous.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
o●oooooo	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

- |X| is the (proper) class { $Y : \exists f : X \to Y$ bijection};
- |X| ≤ |Y| iff there is f : X → Y injection iff there is g : Y → X surjection;
- |X| < |Y| iff $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|X| \ne |Y|$.

- |X| ≤ |Y| and |Y| ≤ |X| iff |X| = |Y| (Cantor 1887, Bernstein 1897, Dedekind 1898).
- $|X| < |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ (Cantor 1891).

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
○●○○○○○○	oo	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

- |X| is the (proper) class { $Y : \exists f : X \rightarrow Y$ bijection};
- |X| ≤ |Y| iff there is f : X → Y injection iff there is g : Y → X surjection;
- |X| < |Y| iff $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|X| \ne |Y|$.

- |X| ≤ |Y| and |Y| ≤ |X| iff |X| = |Y| (Cantor 1887, Bernstein 1897, Dedekind 1898).
- $|X| < |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ (Cantor 1891). If $g: X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$, g is not a surjection as witnessed by

$$Y_g = \{x \in X : x \notin g(x)\}.$$

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	000000000000	000

- |X| is the (proper) class { $Y : \exists f : X \rightarrow Y$ bijection};
- |X| ≤ |Y| iff there is f : X → Y injection iff there is g : Y → X surjection;
- |X| < |Y| iff $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|X| \ne |Y|$.

- |X| ≤ |Y| and |Y| ≤ |X| iff |X| = |Y| (Cantor 1887, Bernstein 1897, Dedekind 1898).
- $|X| < |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ (Cantor 1891).
- \leq is a well-order on cardinals (Zermelo+...~ 1904).

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
○●○○○○○○	oo	000	oo	00000	00	00000000000	000

- |X| is the (proper) class { $Y : \exists f : X \rightarrow Y$ bijection};
- |X| ≤ |Y| iff there is f : X → Y injection iff there is g : Y → X surjection;
- |X| < |Y| iff $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|X| \ne |Y|$.

- |X| ≤ |Y| and |Y| ≤ |X| iff |X| = |Y| (Cantor 1887, Bernstein 1897, Dedekind 1898).
- $|X| < |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ (Cantor 1891).
- ≤ is a well-order on cardinals (Zermelo+...~ 1904), i.e. it is a linear order on cardinals such that for every class C ≠ Ø there is min {|X| : X ∈ C}.

Basics

- |X| is the (proper) class { $Y : \exists f : X \rightarrow Y$ bijection};
- |X| ≤ |Y| iff there is f : X → Y injection iff there is g : Y → X surjection;
- |X| < |Y| iff $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|X| \ne |Y|$.

Cardinal arithmetic in a nutshell

- |X| ≤ |Y| and |Y| ≤ |X| iff |X| = |Y| (Cantor 1887, Bernstein 1897, Dedekind 1898).
- $|X| < |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ (Cantor 1891).
- \leq is a well-order on cardinals (Zermelo+...~ 1904).

Cardinals

- $\aleph_0 = |\mathbb{N}|;$
- $\aleph_1 = \aleph_0^+ = \min\{|Z| : |Z| > \aleph_0\};$
- $2^{\aleph_0} = |\mathbb{R}| = |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})|.$

(日)

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

- $\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$, or equivalently
- if $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, either $|Z| = |\mathbb{R}|$ or $|Z| \le |\mathbb{N}|$.

- No *closed* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Cantor 1883).
- No *Borel* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Alexandroff 1916, Hausdorff 1917).
- No *analytic* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Suslin+Alexandroff 1917).

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

- $\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$, or equivalently
- if $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, either $|Z| = |\mathbb{R}|$ or $|Z| \le |\mathbb{N}|$.

- No *closed* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Cantor 1883).
- No *Borel* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Alexandroff 1916, Hausdorff 1917).
- No *analytic* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Suslin+Alexandroff 1917).

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

- $\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$, or equivalently
- if $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, either $|Z| = |\mathbb{R}|$ or $|Z| \le |\mathbb{N}|$.

- No *closed* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Cantor 1883).
- No *Borel* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Alexandroff 1916, Hausdorff 1917).
- No *analytic* subset of \mathbb{R} is a counterexample to CH (Suslin+Alexandroff 1917).

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

- $\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$, or equivalently
- if $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, either $|Z| = |\mathbb{R}|$ or $|Z| \le |\mathbb{N}|$.

- No *closed* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Cantor 1883).
- No *Borel* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Alexandroff 1916, Hausdorff 1917).
- No *analytic* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Suslin+Alexandroff 1917).

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

- $\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$, or equivalently
- if $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, either $|Z| = |\mathbb{R}|$ or $|Z| \le |\mathbb{N}|$.

- No *closed* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Cantor 1883).
- No *Borel* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Alexandroff 1916, Hausdorff 1917).
- No *analytic* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Suslin+Alexandroff 1917).

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

- $\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$, or equivalently
- if $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, either $|Z| = |\mathbb{R}|$ or $|Z| \le |\mathbb{N}|$.

- No *closed* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Cantor 1883).
- No *Borel* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Alexandroff 1916, Hausdorff 1917).
- No *analytic* subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Suslin+Alexandroff 1917).



Figure: Analytic and coanalytic sets

Basics GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST COCOCOCCCCCC The projective susets of \mathbb{R}^n are those subsets of \mathbb{R}^n which are Σ_m^1 (or Π_m^1) for some m.



Figure: Projective sets

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then:

- No universally Baire subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989 + Davis 1964).
- Borel sets, analytic sets, projective sets,... are all universally Baire

(Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989).

Definition

Basics

 $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is universally Baire if $f^{-1}[U]$ has the Baire property in X for any continuous $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with (X, τ) compact Hausdorff.

- Analytic and coanalytic sets are provably universally Baire without large cardinals.
- Games with payoff a universally Baire set are determined if (and in a weak sense only if) there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals.

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then:

- No universally Baire subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989 + Davis 1964).
- Borel sets, analytic sets, projective sets,... are all universally Baire (Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989).

Definition

Basics

 $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is universally Baire if $f^{-1}[U]$ has the Baire property in X for any continuous $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with (X, τ) compact Hausdorff.

- Analytic and coanalytic sets are provably universally Baire without large cardinals.
- Games with payoff a universally Baire set are determined if (and in a weak sense only if) there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals.

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then:

- No universally Baire subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989 + Davis 1964).
- Borel sets, analytic sets, projective sets,... are all universally Baire

(Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989).

Definition

Basics 00000000

> $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is universally Baire if $f^{-1}[U]$ has the Baire property in X for any continuous $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with (X, τ) compact Hausdorff.

- イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then:

- No universally Baire subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989 + Davis 1964).
- Borel sets, analytic sets, projective sets,... are all universally Baire
 (Fong Magidor Woodin 1992 - Stool Martin 1989)

(Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989).

Definition

Basics

 $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is universally Baire if $f^{-1}[U]$ has the Baire property in X for any continuous $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with (X, τ) compact Hausdorff.

- Analytic and coanalytic sets are provably universally Baire without large cardinals.
- Games with payoff a universally Baire set are determined if (and in a weak sense only if) there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals.

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then:

- No universally Baire subset of ℝ is a counterexample to CH (Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989 + Davis 1964).
- Borel sets, analytic sets, projective sets,... are all universally Baire
 (Fang Magidar Wandin 1992). Steel Martin 1990)

(Feng-Magidor-Woodin 1992 + Steel-Martin 1989).

Definition

Basics

 $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is universally Baire if $f^{-1}[U]$ has the Baire property in X for any continuous $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with (X, τ) compact Hausdorff.

- Analytic and coanalytic sets are provably universally Baire without large cardinals.
- Games with payoff a universally Baire set are *determined* if (and in a weak sense only if) there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals.

Definition

Basics

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Polish space. $A \subseteq X$ is *universally* Baire if for all continuous $f : Y \to X$ with (Y, σ) compact Hausdorff, $f^{-1}[A]$ has the Baire property in (Y, σ) .

Universal Baireness describes the **absolutely** regular sets of reals:

Consider $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a closed subspace of [0; 1]. It is meager.

Now take a subset *P* of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ which does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Seen as a subset of [0; 1], *P* is meager, hence it has the Baire property, but *P* is also the preimage under the inclusion map of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ inside [0; 1].

This map is continuous, and the preimage of P does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition

Basics

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Polish space. $A \subseteq X$ is *universally* Baire if for all continuous $f : Y \to X$ with (Y, σ) compact Hausdorff, $f^{-1}[A]$ has the Baire property in (Y, σ) .

Universal Baireness describes the **absolutely** regular sets of reals:

Consider $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a closed subspace of [0; 1]. It is meager.

Now take a subset *P* of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ which does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Seen as a subset of [0; 1], *P* is meager, hence it has the Baire property, but *P* is also the preimage under the inclusion map of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ inside [0; 1].

This map is continuous, and the preimage of P does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition

Basics

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Polish space. $A \subseteq X$ is *universally* Baire if for all continuous $f : Y \to X$ with (Y, σ) compact Hausdorff, $f^{-1}[A]$ has the Baire property in (Y, σ) .

Universal Baireness describes the **absolutely** regular sets of reals:

Consider $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a closed subspace of [0; 1]. It is meager.

Now take a subset *P* of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ which does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Seen as a subset of [0; 1], *P* is meager, hence it has the Baire property, but *P* is also the preimage under the inclusion map of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ inside [0; 1].

This map is continuous, and the preimage of P does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition

Basics

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Polish space. $A \subseteq X$ is *universally* Baire if for all continuous $f : Y \to X$ with (Y, σ) compact Hausdorff, $f^{-1}[A]$ has the Baire property in (Y, σ) .

Universal Baireness describes the **absolutely** regular sets of reals:

Consider $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a closed subspace of [0; 1]. It is meager.

Now take a subset *P* of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ which does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Seen as a subset of [0; 1], *P* is meager, hence it has the Baire property, but *P* is also the preimage under the inclusion map of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ inside [0; 1].

This map is continuous, and the preimage of P does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Hence $P \subseteq [0; 1]$ is not universally Baire, even if it has the Baire property.

8/38

Definition

Basics

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Polish space. $A \subseteq X$ is *universally* Baire if for all continuous $f : Y \to X$ with (Y, σ) compact Hausdorff, $f^{-1}[A]$ has the Baire property in (Y, σ) .

Universal Baireness describes the **absolutely** regular sets of reals:

Consider $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a closed subspace of [0; 1]. It is meager.

Now take a subset *P* of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ which does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Seen as a subset of [0; 1], P is meager, hence it has the Baire

property, but *P* is also the preimage under the inclusion map of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ inside [0; 1].

This map is continuous, and the preimage of P does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition

Basics

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Polish space. $A \subseteq X$ is *universally* Baire if for all continuous $f : Y \to X$ with (Y, σ) compact Hausdorff, $f^{-1}[A]$ has the Baire property in (Y, σ) .

Universal Baireness describes the **absolutely** regular sets of reals:

Consider $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a closed subspace of [0; 1]. It is meager.

Now take a subset *P* of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ which does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Seen as a subset of [0; 1], *P* is meager, hence it has the Baire property, but *P* is also the preimage under the inclusion map of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ inside [0; 1].

This map is continuous, and the preimage of P does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition

Basics

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Polish space. $A \subseteq X$ is *universally* Baire if for all continuous $f : Y \to X$ with (Y, σ) compact Hausdorff, $f^{-1}[A]$ has the Baire property in (Y, σ) .

Universal Baireness describes the **absolutely** regular sets of reals:

Consider $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a closed subspace of [0; 1]. It is meager.

Now take a subset *P* of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ which does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Seen as a subset of [0; 1], *P* is meager, hence it has the Baire property, but *P* is also the preimage under the inclusion map of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ inside [0; 1].

This map is continuous, and the preimage of *P* does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition

Basics

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Polish space. $A \subseteq X$ is *universally* Baire if for all continuous $f : Y \to X$ with (Y, σ) compact Hausdorff, $f^{-1}[A]$ has the Baire property in (Y, σ) .

Universal Baireness describes the **absolutely** regular sets of reals:

Consider $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a closed subspace of [0; 1]. It is meager.

Now take a subset *P* of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ which does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Seen as a subset of [0; 1], *P* is meager, hence it has the Baire property, but *P* is also the preimage under the inclusion map of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ inside [0; 1].

This map is continuous, and the preimage of *P* does not have the Baire property in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

- There is a model of the axioms of MK where CH holds (Gödel 1939).
- There is a model of the axioms of MK where CH fails (Cohen 1963).
- In the model of the axioms of MK where CH fails produced by Cohen, this failure can be witnessed by a Σ_2^1 -set of reals.



- There is a model of the axioms of MK where CH holds (Gödel 1939).
- There is a model of the axioms of MK where CH fails (Cohen 1963).
- In the model of the axioms of MK where CH fails produced by Cohen, this failure can be witnessed by a Σ_2^1 -set of reals.



- There is a model of the axioms of MK where CH holds (Gödel 1939).
- There is a model of the axioms of MK where CH fails (Cohen 1963).
- In the model of the axioms of MK where CH fails produced by Cohen, this failure can be witnessed by a Σ₂¹-set of reals.



- There is a model of the axioms of MK where CH holds (Gödel 1939).
- There is a model of the axioms of MK where CH fails (Cohen 1963).
- In the model of the axioms of MK where CH fails produced by Cohen, this failure can be witnessed by a Σ₂¹-set of reals.



Section 2

Gödel's program

<ロト</th>
 日
 日
 日
 日
 のへの

 10/38
Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
00000000	○●	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

WHAT IS CANTOR'S CONTINUUM PROBLEM? KURT GÖDEL, Institute for Advanced Study

The American Matematical Monthly, 54(9), 1947



WHAT IS CANTOR'S CONTINUUM PROBLEM?

KURT GÖDEL, Institute for Advanced Study

On the undecidability of CH:

Only someone who (like the intuitionist) denies that the concepts and axioms of classical set theory have any meaning (or any well-defined meaning) could be satisfied with such a solution, not someone who believes them to describe some well-determined reality. For in this reality Cantor's conjecture must be either true or false, and its undecidability from the axioms as known today can only mean that these axioms do not contain a complete description of this reality;

WHAT IS CANTOR'S CONTINUUM PROBLEM? KURT GÖDEL, Institute for Advanced Study

On Large Cardinals:

GP

For first of all the axioms of set theory by no means form a system closed in itself, but, quite on the contrary, the very concept of set¹⁷ on which they are based suggests their extension by new axioms which assert the existence of still further iterations of the operation "set of." These axioms can also be formulated as propositions asserting the existence of very great cardinal numbers or (which is the same) of sets having these cardinal numbers. The simplest of these strong "axioms of infinity" assert the existence of inaccessible numbers (and of numbers inaccessible in the stronger sense) > \aleph_0 .

ヘロト 人間 ト 人目 ト 人目 トー



WHAT IS CANTOR'S CONTINUUM PROBLEM? KURT GÖDEL, Institute for Advanced Study

On success as a criterion to detect new axioms:

There might exist axioms so abundant in their verifiable consequences, shedding so much light upon a whole discipline, and furnishing such powerful methods for solving given problems (and even solving them, as far as that is possible, in a constructivistic way) that quite irrespective of their intrinsic necessity they would have to be assumed at least in the same sense as any well established physical theory.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
00000000	oo	●00	oo		00	00000000000	000

Section 3

Large cardinals





• Large cardinals formalize the idea that **the universe of sets is as tall as possible** i.e. the well-ordering on the cardinals is as long as possible.



 Large cardinals formalize the idea that the universe of sets is as tall as possible i.e. the well-ordering on the cardinals is as long as possible.

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- Gödel already mentioned inaccessibility.
- Wiles proof of Fermat's last theorem uses *Grothendieck universes*.



- Large cardinals formalize the idea that **the universe of sets is as tall as possible** i.e. the well-ordering on the cardinals is as long as possible.
- Gödel already mentioned inaccessibility.
- Wiles proof of Fermat's last theorem uses *Grothendieck universes*.
- The existence of a Grothendieck universe is equivalent to the existence of an *inaccessible cardinal*.

Large cardinal axioms

- Large cardinals formalize the idea that **the universe of sets is as tall as possible** i.e. the well-ordering on the cardinals is as long as possible.
- Gödel already mentioned inaccessibility.
- Wiles proof of Fermat's last theorem uses *Grothendieck universes*.
- The existence of a Grothendieck universe is equivalent to the existence of an *inaccessible cardinal*.
- The existence of arbitrarily many Grothendieck universes is equivalent to:

there is a proper class of inaccessible cardinals.

Large cardinal axioms

- Large cardinals formalize the idea that **the universe of sets is as tall as possible** i.e. the well-ordering on the cardinals is as long as possible.
- Gödel already mentioned *inaccessibility*.

- Wiles proof of Fermat's last theorem uses *Grothendieck universes*.
- The existence of arbitrarily many Grothendieck universes is equivalent to:

there is a proper class of inaccessible cardinals.

Vopenka's principle

For every *proper class* of **directed graphs with no loops**, there are two members of the class with a homomorphism between them.

Large cardinal axioms

- Large cardinals formalize the idea that **the universe of sets is as tall as possible** i.e. the well-ordering on the cardinals is as long as possible.
- Gödel already mentioned inaccessibility.

- Wiles proof of Fermat's last theorem uses *Grothendieck universes*.
- The existence of arbitrarily many Grothendieck universes is equivalent to:

there is a proper class of inaccessible cardinals.

Vopenka's principle

For every *proper class* of **directed graphs with no loops**, there are two members of the class with a homomorphism between them.

Adamek-Rosicky, *Locally presentable and accessible categories*, CUP, 1994.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
00000000	00	00•	oo		00	00000000000	000

Vopenka's principle VP

For every proper class of directed graphs with no loops, there are two members of the class with a homomorphism between them.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
00000000	00	00●	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Vopenka's principle VP

For every proper class of directed graphs with no loops, there are two members of the class with a homomorphism between them.

Fact

Assume Vopenka's principle. Then there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Vopenka's principle VP

For every proper class of directed graphs with no loops, there are two members of the class with a homomorphism between them.

From nLab:

The implication of VP on homotopy theory, model categories and cohomology localization are discussed in the following articles

- Jiří Rosický, Walter Tholen, Left-determined model categories and universal homotopy theories Transactions of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 355, No. 9 (Sep., 2003), pp. 3611-3623 (JSTOR).
- <u>Carles Casacuberta</u>, Dirk Scevenels, <u>Jeff Smith</u>, <u>Implications of large-cardinal principles in homotopical localization</u> Advances in Mathematics Volume 197, Issue 1, 20 October 2005, Pages 120-139
- Joan Bagaria, <u>Carles Casacuberta</u>, Adrian Mathias, <u>Jiří Rosicky</u> Definable orthogonality classes in accessible categories are small, arXiv

• Giulio Lo Monaco, Vopěnka's principle in ∞-categories, <u>arxiv:2105.04251</u>

JOURNALS * JEMS * VOL. 17, NO. 3

Journal of the European Mathematical Society Volume 17, No. 3 (2015)

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	•0	00000	00	00000000000	000

Section 4

Forcing axioms



The powerset of X is "as thick as possible" for given X of size κ ,

Forcing axioms for κ can be divided in two categories:

- **topological maximality:** strong forms of Baire's category theorem, generic points, MM⁺⁺.
- algebraic maximality: closure of *P*(X) under a variety of set theoretic operations for any fixed X of size κ, algebraically closed structures, Woodin's axiom (*).



- **topological maximality:** strong forms of Baire's category theorem, generic points, MM⁺⁺.
- algebraic maximality: closure of *P*(X) under a variety of set theoretic operations for any fixed X of size κ, algebraically closed structures, Woodin's axiom (*).



- **topological maximality:** strong forms of Baire's category theorem, generic points, MM⁺⁺.
- algebraic maximality: closure of *P*(X) under a variety of set theoretic operations for any fixed X of size κ, algebraically closed structures, Woodin's axiom (*).



- **topological maximality:** strong forms of Baire's category theorem, generic points, MM⁺⁺.
- algebraic maximality: closure of *P*(X) under a variety of set theoretic operations for any fixed X of size κ, algebraically closed structures, Woodin's axiom (*).

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
00000000	00	000	○●	00000	00	00000000000	000

The powerset of X is "as thick as possible" for given X of size κ , Forcing axioms for κ can be divided in two categories:

- **topological maximality:** strong forms of Baire's category theorem, generic points, MM⁺⁺.
- algebraic maximality: closure of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ under a variety of set theoretic operations for any fixed X of size κ , algebraically closed structures, Woodin's axiom (*).

The rest of the talk is mainly aimed at formulating precisely the second of these two concepts.

Basics GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST App 00000000 00 000 0 00000000 000

Forcing axioms relative to a cardinal κ :

- **topological maximality:** strong forms of Baire's category theorem, generic points, MM⁺⁺.
- algebraic maximality: closure of *P*(X) under a variety of set theoretic operations for any fixed X of size κ, algebraically closed structures, Woodin's axiom (*).
- MM^{++} and (*) are forcing axioms for \aleph_1 the first uncountable cardinal.
- Baire's category theorem is a "topological" forcing axiom for ^N₀.
- Large cardinals entail "algebraic" forcing axioms for \aleph_0 .

Basics GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST App 00000000 00 000 0 00000000 000

Forcing axioms relative to a cardinal κ :

- **topological maximality:** strong forms of Baire's category theorem, generic points, MM⁺⁺.
- algebraic maximality: closure of *P*(X) under a variety of set theoretic operations for any fixed X of size κ, algebraically closed structures, Woodin's axiom (*).
- MM^{++} and (*) are forcing axioms for \aleph_1 the first uncountable cardinal.
- Baire's category theorem is a "topological" forcing axiom for $\aleph_0.$
- Large cardinals entail "algebraic" forcing axioms for \aleph_0 .

Basics GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST App 00000000 00 000 00 000

Forcing axioms relative to a cardinal κ :

- **topological maximality:** strong forms of Baire's category theorem, generic points, MM⁺⁺.
- algebraic maximality: closure of *P*(X) under a variety of set theoretic operations for any fixed X of size κ, algebraically closed structures, Woodin's axiom (*).
- MM^{++} and (*) are forcing axioms for \aleph_1 the first uncountable cardinal.
- Baire's category theorem is a "topological" forcing axiom for \aleph_0 .
- Large cardinals entail "algebraic" forcing axioms for \aleph_0 .

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
00000000	00	000	oo	●○○○○	00	00000000000	000

Section 5

Algebraic closure and model companionship

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Structures	Axioms	Example
Commutative	$\forall x, y (x \cdot y = y \cdot x)$	
semirings	$\forall x, y, z [(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)]$	
with no zero	$\forall x (x \cdot 1 = x \land 1 \cdot x = x)$	
divisors	$\forall x, y (x + y = y + x)$	
	$\forall x, y, z [(x+y) + z = x + (y+z)]$	
	$\forall \mathbf{y} (x + 0 = x \land 0 + x = x)$	\mathbb{N}
	$\forall x, y, z [(x+y) \cdot z = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)]$	
	$\forall x, y \ [x \cdot y = 0 \rightarrow (x = 0 \lor y = 0)]$	
	$\forall x \exists y (x + y = 0)$	
	$\forall x [x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (x \cdot y = 1)]$	
Algebraically	for all $n \ge 1$	
	$\forall x_0 \dots x_n \exists y \sum x_i \cdot y^i = 0$	

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Structures	Axioms	Example
Commutative	$\forall x, y (x \cdot y = y \cdot x)$	
semirings	$\forall x, y, z [(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)]$	
with no zero	$\forall x (x \cdot 1 = x \land 1 \cdot x = x)$	
divisors	$\forall x, y (x + y = y + x)$	
	$\forall x, y, z [(x+y) + z = x + (y+z)]$	
	$\forall \mathbf{y} (x + 0 = x \land 0 + x = x)$	\mathbb{N}
	$\forall x, y, z [(x+y) \cdot z = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)]$	
	$\forall x, y [x \cdot y = 0 \rightarrow (x = 0 \lor y = 0)]$	
Integral		
domains	$\forall x \exists y (x+y=0)$	\mathbb{Z}
	$\forall x [x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (x \cdot y = 1)]$	
Algebraically	for all $n \ge 1$	
	$\forall x_0 \dots x_n \exists y \sum x_i \cdot y^i = 0$	

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Structures	Axioms	Example
Commutative	$\forall x, y (x \cdot y = y \cdot x)$	
semirings	$\forall x, y, z [(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)]$	
with no zero	$\forall x (x \cdot 1 = x \land 1 \cdot x = x)$	
divisors	$\forall x, y (x + y = y + x)$	
	$\forall x, y, z [(x+y) + z = x + (y+z)]$	
	$\forall \mathbf{y} (x + 0 = x \land 0 + x = x)$	\mathbb{N}
	$\forall x, y, z [(x + y) \cdot z = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)]$	
	$\forall x, y [x \cdot y = 0 \rightarrow (x = 0 \lor y = 0)]$	
Integral		
domains	$\forall x \exists y (x+y=0)$	\mathbb{Z}
Fields	$\forall x [x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (x \cdot y = 1)]$	Q
Algebraically	for all $n \ge 1$	
	$\forall x_0 \dots x_n \exists y \ \sum x_i \cdot y^i = 0$	

きょうかん 聞い ふぼうえば きょうし

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Structures	Axioms	Example
Commutative	$\forall x, y (x \cdot y = y \cdot x)$	
semirings	$\forall x, y, z [(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)]$	
with no zero	$\forall x (x \cdot 1 = x \land 1 \cdot x = x)$	
divisors	$\forall x, y (x + y = y + x)$	
	$\forall x, y, z [(x+y) + z = x + (y+z)]$	
	$\forall \mathbf{y} (x + 0 = x \land 0 + x = x)$	\mathbb{N}
	$\forall x, y, z [(x+y) \cdot z = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)]$	
	$\forall x, y [x \cdot y = 0 \rightarrow (x = 0 \lor y = 0)]$	
Integral		
domains	$\forall x \exists y (x+y=0)$	\mathbb{Z}
Fields	$\forall x [x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (x \cdot y = 1)]$	Q
Algebraically	for all $n \ge 1$	
closed fields	$\forall x_0 \dots x_n \exists y \ \sum x_i \cdot y^i = 0$	\mathbb{C}

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

$\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} \rangle \quad \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} \rangle \quad \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} \rangle$

・ロト・日本・モート ヨー うらの

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 差 の 4 で
19/38

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

$\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \quad \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \quad \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

 $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \qquad \not\prec_1 \qquad \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \qquad \prec_1 \qquad \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

Definition

Given a vocabulary τ and τ -structures $\mathcal{M} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M} \prec_1 \mathcal{N}$ if every Σ_1 -formula with parameters in \mathcal{M} and true in \mathcal{N} is true also in \mathcal{M} .

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

$\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \quad \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \quad \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

 $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \qquad \not\prec_1 \qquad \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \qquad \prec_1 \qquad \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

Definition

Given a vocabulary τ and τ -structures $\mathcal{M} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M} \prec_1 \mathcal{N}$ if every Σ_1 -formula with parameters in \mathcal{M} and true in \mathcal{N} is true also in \mathcal{M} .

A *τ*-formula φ(x₁,..., x_n) is quantifier free if it is a boolean combination of atomic formulae.

AlaCI

• A τ -formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is quantifier free if it is a boolean combination of atomic formulae.

Example

In the vocabulary $\{+, \cdot, 0, 1\}$, the atomic formulae are diophantine equations and the quantifier free formulae with parameters in a ring \mathcal{M} define the constructible sets (in the sense of algebraic geometry) of \mathcal{M} :

 $\bigvee_{j=1}^{l} \left[\bigwedge_{i=1}^{k_j} p_{ij}(a_1^{ij}, \ldots, a_{m_{ij}}^{ij}, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \land \bigwedge_{d=1}^{m_j} \neg q_{dj}(b_1^{dj}, \ldots, b_{k_dj}^{dj}, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \right]$

with each a_k^{ij} , b_k^{dj} elements of \mathcal{M} and $p_{ij}(y_1, \ldots, y_{m_{ij}}, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$, $q_{dj}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k_dj}, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ diophantine equations (of degree 1 in the y_l, z_h -s).

 $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \hspace{1cm} \sqsubseteq \hspace{1cm} \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \hspace{1cm} \sqsubseteq \hspace{1cm} \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

 $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \qquad \not\prec_1 \qquad \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \qquad \prec_1 \qquad \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

Definition

Given a vocabulary τ and τ -structures $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M} \prec_1 \mathcal{N}$ if every Σ_1 -formula with parameters in \mathcal{M} and true in \mathcal{N} is true also in \mathcal{M} .

- A *τ*-formula φ(x₁,..., x_n) is quantifier free if it is a boolean combination of atomic formulae.
- A τ -formula $\psi(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ is a Σ_1 -formula if it is of the form $\exists y_0, \ldots, y_k \phi(y_0, \ldots, y_k, x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ with $\phi(y_0, \ldots, y_k, x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ quantifier free.

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

Existentially closed structures and model companionship

 $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \qquad \not\prec_1 \qquad \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \qquad \prec_1 \qquad \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

Definition

Given a vocabulary τ and τ -structures $\mathcal{M} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} \prec_1 \mathcal{N}$ if every Σ_1 -formula with parameters in \mathcal{M} and true in \mathcal{N} is true also in \mathcal{M} .

• A τ -formula $\psi(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ is a Σ_1 -formula if it is of the form $\exists y_0, \ldots, y_k \phi(y_0, \ldots, y_k, x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ with $\phi(y_0, \ldots, y_k, x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ quantifier free.

Definition

Given a τ -theory **S**, a τ -structure \mathcal{M} is **S**-ec if:

- there is a model of $S \mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$,
- $\mathcal{M}_{1}\mathcal{N}$ for any $\mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ which models S.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

Existentially closed structures and model companionship

 $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \quad \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \quad \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

Definition

Given a vocabulary τ and τ -structures $\mathcal{M} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} \prec_1 \mathcal{N}$ if every Σ_1 -formula with parameters in \mathcal{M} and true in \mathcal{N} is true also in \mathcal{M} .

Definition

Given a τ -theory **S**, a τ -structure \mathcal{M} is **S**-ec if:

- there is a model of $S \mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$,
- $\mathcal{M}_{1}\mathcal{N}$ for any $\mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ which models S.

Example

For *S* the $\{+, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ -theory of integral domains the algebraically closed fields are the *S*-ec models.
Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

Existentially closed structures and model companionship

 $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \quad \not\prec_1 \quad \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \quad \prec_1 \quad \langle \mathbb{C}[X], +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

Definition

Given a vocabulary τ and τ -structures $\mathcal{M} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} \prec_1 \mathcal{N}$ if every Σ_1 -formula with parameters in \mathcal{M} and true in \mathcal{N} is true also in \mathcal{M} .

Definition

Given a τ -theory **S**, a τ -structure \mathcal{M} is **S**-ec if:

- there is a model of $S \mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$,
- $\mathcal{M} \prec_1 \mathcal{N}$ for any $\mathcal{N} \sqsupseteq \mathcal{M}$ which models S.

Example

For *S* the $\{+, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ -theory of integral domains the algebraically closed fields are the *S*-ec models.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Existentially closed structures and model companionship

Definition

Given a τ -theory **S**, a τ -structure \mathcal{M} is **S**-ec if:

- there is a model of $S \mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$,
- $\mathcal{M}_{1}\mathcal{N}$ for any $\mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ which models S.

Definition

Given a τ -theory S, a τ -theory T is the model companion of S if TFAE for any τ -structure M:

- \mathcal{M} is a model of \mathcal{T} ,
- *M* is *S*-ec.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	0000	00	00000000000	000

Existentially closed structures and model companionship

Definition

Given a τ -theory **S**, a τ -structure \mathcal{M} is **S**-ec if:

- there is a model of $S \mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$,
- $\mathcal{M} \prec_1 \mathcal{N}$ for any $\mathcal{N} \sqsupseteq \mathcal{M}$ which models S.

Definition

Given a τ -theory **S**, a τ -theory **T** is the *model companion* of **S** if TFAE for any τ -structure \mathcal{M} :

- \mathcal{M} is a model of \mathcal{T} ,
- *M* is *S*-ec.

Example

The $\{+, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ -theory of integral domains has the $\{+, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ -theory of algebraically closed fields as its model companion.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

The right vocabulary for a mathematical theory

Every mathematical theory can be axiomatized in first order logic by suitably choosing the vocabulary for its basic concepts.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

The right vocabulary for a mathematical theory

Every mathematical theory can be axiomatized in first order logic by suitably choosing the vocabulary for its basic concepts. Consider Group Theory
 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

The right vocabulary for a mathematical theory

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

20/38

Axioms of groups in $\{\cdot, e\}$ $\forall x, y, z [(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)],$ $\forall y (e \cdot y = y \land y \cdot e = y),$ $\forall x \exists y [x \cdot y = e \land y \cdot x = e].$

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

The right vocabulary for a mathematical theory

Axioms of groups in $\{\cdot, e\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y, z \left[(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) \right], \\ \forall y \left(e \cdot y = y \land y \cdot e = y \right), \\ \forall x \exists y \left[x \cdot y = e \land y \cdot x = e \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Axioms of groups in {R, e} with R a ternary relation symbol $\forall x, y \exists ! z R(x, y, z),$ $\forall x, y, z, w, t [((R(x, y, w) \land R(y, z, t)) \rightarrow \exists u (R(x, t, u) \land R(w, z, u))],$ $\forall y [R(e, y, y) \land R(y, e, y)],$ $\forall x \exists y [R(x, y, e) \land R(y, x, e)].$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

The right vocabulary for a mathematical theory

Axioms of groups in $\{\cdot, e\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y, z \left[(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) \right], \\ \forall y \left(e \cdot y = y \land y \cdot e = y \right), \\ \forall x \exists y \left[x \cdot y = e \land y \cdot x = e \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Axioms of groups in $\{R, e\}$ with R a ternary relation symbol $\forall x, y \exists ! z R(x, y, z),$

 $\begin{aligned} \forall x, y, z, w, t \left[\left((R(x, y, w) \land R(y, z, t)) \rightarrow \exists u \left(R(x, t, u) \land R(w, z, u) \right) \right], \\ \forall y \left[R(e, y, y) \land R(y, e, y) \right], \end{aligned}$

 $\forall x \exists y [R(x, y, e) \land R(y, x, e)].$

The two axiomatizions are equivalent in the vocabulary $\{R, \cdot, e\}$, modulo the axiom

$$\forall x, y, z \left(R(x, y, z) \leftrightarrow x \cdot y = z \right)$$

20/38



Standard axiomatization of sets in textbooks is done in vocabulary $\{\in\}$, eventually with extra symbol \subseteq .



Standard axiomatization of sets in textbooks is done in vocabulary $\{\in\}$, eventually with extra symbol \subseteq .

Formalizing in the $\{\in\}$ -vocabulary the notion of ordered pair: **Kuratowski's trick:** $\langle y, z \rangle$ is coded in set theory by the set $\{\{y\}, \{y, z\}\}$.



Standard axiomatization of sets in textbooks is done in vocabulary $\{\in\}$, eventually with extra symbol \subseteq .

Formalizing in the { \in }-vocabulary the notion of ordered pair: **Kuratowski's trick:** $\langle y, z \rangle$ is coded in set theory by the set { $\{y\}, \{y, z\}$ }. In set theory the standard \in -formula expressing $x = \langle y, z \rangle$ is

 $\exists t \exists u \left[\forall w \left(w \in x \leftrightarrow w = t \lor w = u \right) \land \forall v \left(v \in t \leftrightarrow v = y \right) \land \forall v \left(v \in u \leftrightarrow v = y \lor v = z \right) \right].$



The vocabulary \in_{Δ_0} for set theory

- constants for Ø, N,
- relation symbols R_{ϕ} for any lightface Δ_0 -property $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$,
- function symbols for a finite list of basic set theoretic constructors.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

21/38



Lightface Δ_0 -properties

- $\{R \in V : R \text{ is an } n \text{-ary relation}\},\$
- $\{f \in V : f \text{ is a function}\},\$
- $\{\langle a,b\rangle\in V^2:a\subseteq b\},\$
- ...
- {⟨a₁,..., a_n⟩ ∈ Vⁿ : (V, ∈) ⊨ φ(a₁,..., a_n)} for any ∈-formula φ(x₁,..., x_n) where quantified variables are bounded to range in a set.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Lightface Δ_0 -properties

- $\{R \in V : R \text{ is an } n \text{-ary relation}\},\$
- $\{f \in V : f \text{ is a function}\},\$

•
$$\{\langle a,b\rangle\in V^2:a\subseteq b\}$$

• ...

• { $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in V^n : (V, \in) \models \phi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ } for any \in -formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ where quantified variables are bounded to range in a set (e.g. $y \subseteq z \equiv \forall x (x \in y \rightarrow x \in z) \equiv \forall x \in y (x \in z)$).

The *lightface* Δ_0 *-properties* are those described in the last item above and include all those listed in some of the above items.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Lightface Δ_0 -properties

- $\{R \in V : R \text{ is an } n \text{-ary relation}\},\$
- $\{f \in V : f \text{ is a function}\},\$
- $\{\langle a,b\rangle\in V^2:a\subseteq b\},\$
- ...
- {⟨a₁,..., a_n⟩ ∈ Vⁿ : (V, ∈) ⊨ φ(a₁,..., a_n)} for any ∈-formula φ(x₁,..., x_n) where quantified variables are bounded to range in a set.

Complicated set theoretic relations

•
$$\{\langle X, Y \rangle \in V^2 : |X| = |Y|\},$$

•
$$\{\langle X, Y \rangle \in V^2 : X = \mathcal{P}(Y)\},\$$

• . . .

• any relation which is not a Δ_1 -property

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日



Complicated set theoretic relations

•
$$\{\langle X, Y \rangle \in V^2 : |X| = |Y|\},\$$

•
$$\{\langle X, Y \rangle \in V^2 : X = \mathcal{P}(Y)\},\$$

- . . .
- any relation which is not a Δ_1 -property ($\Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta_1$).



Basic set theoretic operations

- π_j^n : $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \mapsto a_j$,
- $\langle X, Y \rangle \mapsto X \times Y$,
- $\langle X, Y \rangle \mapsto \{X, Y\},$
- . . .
- Any provably total function whose graph is a lightface Δ₀-property.

The right v	ocabulary	for set	theory
-------------	-----------	---------	--------

AlgCl

The vocabulary \in_{Δ_0} for set theory

- constants for Ø, ℕ,
- relation symbols R_{ϕ} for any lightface Δ_0 -property $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$,
- function symbols for a finite list of basic set theoretic constructors.

Basic set theoretic operations

- π_j^n : $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \mapsto a_j$,
- $\langle X, Y \rangle \mapsto X \times Y$,
- $\langle X, Y \rangle \mapsto \{X, Y\},$
- . . .
- Any provably total function whose graph is a lightface Δ_0 -property.

The right vocabulary for set theory

AlgCl

The vocabulary \in_{Δ_0} for set theory

- constants for Ø, ℕ,
- relation symbols R_{ϕ} for any lightface Δ_0 -property $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$,
- function symbols for a finite list of basic set theoretic constructors.

Lightface Δ_0 -properties

$$\{\langle a_1,\ldots,a_n\rangle\in V^n:(V,\epsilon)\models\phi(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\}$$

for any \in -formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ where quantified variables are bounded to range in a set.

Basic set theoretic operations

Any total function whose graph is a lightface Δ_0 -property.

Basics GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST Ap 00000000 00 000	App 000
--	-------------------

Section 6

Formalization of set theory

4 ロト 4 日 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 2 の 4 (や)
22/38

Axioms of Morse-Kelley Set Theory in $\in_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\text{Set}, V\}$

Notational convention: smallcase variables indicate sets, uppercase variables indicate classes.

Universal axioms

- Extensionality: $\forall X, Y [(X \subseteq Y \land Y \subseteq X) \leftrightarrow X = Y].$
- Comprehension: $\forall X (Set(X) \leftrightarrow X \in V) \land \forall X (X \subseteq V).$
- Foundation:

 $\forall F[(F \text{ is a function } \land \operatorname{dom}(F) = \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N} F(n+1) \notin F(n)].$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

23/38

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCI
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 0
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 <

Axioms of Morse-Kelley Set Theory in $\in_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\text{Set}, V\}$

Existence Axioms:

- Emptyset: $(\forall x \ x \notin \emptyset) \land (\emptyset \in V)$,
- Infinity:

 $\operatorname{Set}(\mathbb{N}) \land \forall x \, [x \in \mathbb{N} \leftrightarrow (x \text{ is a finite Von Neumann ordinal})].$

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

Axioms of Morse-Kelley Set Theory in $\in_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\text{Set}, V\}$

Basic construction principles:

- Union and Pair: $\forall X, Y, w [w \in X \cup Y \leftrightarrow (w \in X \lor w \in Y)], \dots$
- Separation: $\forall P, x [(x \in V) \rightarrow (P \cap x) \in V].$

Axioms of Morse-Kelley Set Theory in $\in_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\text{Set}, V\}$ Strong construction principles:

• Comprehension (b): For every \in_{Δ_0} -formula $\psi(\vec{x}, \vec{Y})$

 $\forall \vec{Y} \exists Z \forall x [x \in Z \leftrightarrow (x \in V \land \exists x_0, \dots, x_n (x = \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle \land \psi(x_0, \dots, x_n, \vec{Y})))].$

FormST

Replacement:

 $\forall F, x [(F \text{ is a function } \land (x \in V) \land (x \subseteq \text{dom}(F))) \rightarrow (F[x] \in V)].$

Powerset:

$$\forall x [(x \in V) \rightarrow [\forall z (z \in \mathcal{P}(X) \leftrightarrow z \subseteq x) \land \mathcal{P}(x) \in V]].$$

Choice:

```
 \begin{array}{c} \forall F[\\ F \text{ is a function } \land \forall x \ (x \in \text{dom}(F) \to F(x) \neq \emptyset) \\ \to \\ \exists G \ (G \text{ is a function } \land \text{dom}(G) = \text{dom}(F) \land \forall x \ (x \in \text{dom}(G) \to G(x) \in F(x)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \end{bmatrix}
```

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
	00	000	00	00000	00	●೦೦೦೦೦೦೦೦೦೦	000

Section 7

Algebraic maximality for set theory



A finite set may not be simple, for example to understand the singleton $\{\mathbb{R}\}$ we need to know \mathbb{R} .





A finite set may not be simple, for example to understand the singleton $\{\mathbb{R}\}$ we need to know \mathbb{R} .

Definition

A set X is *hereditarily finite* if it is finite and all its elements are finite, and all the elements of its elements are finite,...



A finite set may not be simple, for example to understand the singleton $\{\mathbb{R}\}$ we need to know \mathbb{R} .

Definition

A set X is *hereditarily finite* if it is finite and all its elements are finite, and all the elements of its elements are finite, \ldots , i.e. if letting

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・

25/38

•
$$\bigcup^0 X = X$$
,

- $\bigcup^{n+1} X = \bigcup (\bigcup^n X),$
- $\operatorname{trcl}(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\bigcup^n X),$

trcl(X) is finite.



A set X is *hereditarily finite* if it is finite and all its elements are finite, and all the elements of its elements are finite, \ldots , i.e. if letting

•
$$\bigcup^0 X = X$$
,

•
$$\bigcup^{n+1} X = \bigcup (\bigcup^n X),$$

• $\operatorname{trcl}(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\bigcup^n X),$

trcl(X) is finite.

Example

- {R} is not hereditarily finite;
- each n ∈ N is hereditarily finite (recall that n = {0,..., n − 1} for all n ∈ N);



Definition A set X is *hereditarily finite* if trcl(X) is finite. H_{\aleph_0} is the set of all hereditarily finite sets.



A set X is *hereditarily finite* if trcl(X) is finite. H_{8n} is the set of all hereditarily finite sets.

Definition

A set *X* is *hereditarily countable* if trcl(X) is countable. $H_{\aleph_0^+} = H_{\aleph_1}$ is the set of all hereditarily countable sets.



A set X is *hereditarily finite* if trcl(X) is finite. H_{\aleph_0} is the set of all hereditarily finite sets.

Definition

A set *X* is *hereditarily countable* if trcl(X) is countable. $H_{\aleph_0^+} = H_{\aleph_1}$ is the set of all hereditarily countable sets.

Remark

- $\{\mathbb{R}\}$ is not hereditarily countable;
- Any subset of ℕ is hereditarily countable;
- \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z} as defined in any textbook are hereditarily countable;
- \mathbb{R} and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ are subsets of H_{\aleph_1} (but not elements!);
- *P*(ℕ) is definable by the atomic ∈_{Δ0}-formula (x ⊆ ℕ) in the structure ⟨H_{ℵ1}, ∈_{Δ0}⟩;
- similarly for ℝ or for any Polish space.



A set X is *hereditarily finite* if trcl(X) is finite. H_{\aleph_0} is the set of all hereditarily finite sets.

Definition

A set *X* is *hereditarily countable* if trcl(X) is countable. $H_{\aleph_0^+} = H_{\aleph_1}$ is the set of all hereditarily countable sets.

Definition

Given a cardinal κ , a set X is hereditarily of size at most κ if trcl(X) has size at most κ ;

 H_{κ^+} is the set of all sets which are hereditarily of size at most κ .



A set X is *hereditarily finite* if trcl(X) is finite. H_{\aleph_0} is the set of all hereditarily finite sets.

Definition

A set *X* is *hereditarily countable* if trcl(X) is countable. $H_{\aleph_0^+} = H_{\aleph_1}$ is the set of all hereditarily countable sets.

Definition

 $H_{\aleph_1^+}=H_{\aleph_2}$ is the set of all sets which are hereditarily of size at most $\aleph_1.$



A set *X* is *hereditarily countable* if trcl(X) is countable. $H_{\aleph_0^+} = H_{\aleph_1}$ is the set of all hereditarily countable sets.

Definition

 $H_{\aleph_1^+}=H_{\aleph_2}$ is the set of all sets which are hereditarily of size at most $\aleph_1.$

Remark

- $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ is definable by the atomic \in_{Δ_0} -formula ($x \subseteq \aleph_1$) in parameter \aleph_1 (the first uncountable ordinal) in the structure $\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0} \rangle$,
- NS, the non-stationary ideal on ℵ₁, is Σ₁-definable in parameter ℵ₁ in the same structure.

Definition

A set *X* is *hereditarily countable* if trcl(X) is countable. $H_{\aleph_0^+} = H_{\aleph_1}$ is the set of all hereditarily countable sets.

Definition

Given a cardinal κ , a set X is *hereditarily of size at most* κ if trcl(X) has size at most κ ;

 H_{κ^+} is the set of all sets which are hereditarily of size at most κ .

Definition

 $H_{\aleph_1^+}=H_{\aleph_2}$ is the set of all sets which are hereditarily of size at most $\aleph_1.$

$$H_{\aleph_0} \subseteq H_{\aleph_1} \subseteq H_{\aleph_2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq H_{\kappa^+} \subseteq \dots$$
$$V = \bigcup \{H_{\kappa^+} : \kappa \text{ an infinite cardinal}\}$$

(E) < E) = E</p>
Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	0000000000	000

Existentially closed structures for set theory

Theorem (Levy)

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then

$$\langle H_{\kappa^{+}}, \in_{\Delta_{0}}, A : A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \rangle \prec_{1} \langle V, \in_{\Delta_{0}}, A : A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \rangle$$

<ロト<部ト<Eト<Eト E のQで 26/38



Theorem (Levy)

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then

$$\langle H_{\kappa^+}, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \rangle \prec_1 \langle V, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \rangle.$$



Theorem (Levy)

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then

$$\langle H_{\kappa^+}, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \rangle \prec_1 \langle V, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \rangle.$$

Theorem (Shoenfield, 1961) Let V[G] be a forcing extension of V. Then

 $\langle H_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0} \rangle \prec_1 \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0} \rangle.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

27/38



- UB^V denotes the family of universally Baire subsets of ℝ existing in V.
- (modulo a Borel isomorphism) ℝ ≈ 𝒫(ℕ) ≈ 2^ℕ and UB is a family of subsets of 𝒫(ℕ).
- Every univ. Baire set A of V can be naturally lifted to a univ.
 Baire set A^{V[G]} of V[G] for any forcing extension V[G] of V.

Basics GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST App

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$

- UB^V denotes the family of universally Baire subsets of ℝ existing in V.
- (modulo a Borel isomorphism) ℝ ≈ 𝒫(ℕ) ≈ 2^ℕ and UB is a family of subsets of 𝒫(ℕ).
- Every univ. Baire set A of V can be naturally lifted to a univ.
 Baire set A^{V[G]} of V[G] for any forcing extension V[G] of V.

Theorem (Feng-Magidor-Woodin, 1992) Let V[G] be a forcing extension of V. Then

 $\langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \in UB^V \rangle \prec_1 \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathcal{A}^{V[G]} : \mathcal{A} \in UB^V \rangle.$

Basics GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST App 00000000 00 000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$

Theorem (Levy)

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then

$$\langle H_{\kappa^+}, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \rangle \prec_1 \langle V, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \rangle.$$

Theorem (Shoenfield, 1961) Let V[G] be a forcing extension of V. Then

$$\langle H_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0} \rangle \prec_1 \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0} \rangle.$$

Theorem (Feng-Magidor-Woodin, 1992) Let V[G] be a forcing extension of V. Then

 $\langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \in UB^V \rangle \prec_1 \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, A^{V[G]} : A \in UB^V \rangle.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



- UB^V denotes the family of universally Baire subsets of ℝ existing in V.
- (modulo a Borel isomorphism) ℝ ≈ 𝒫(ℕ) ≈ 2^ℕ and UB is a family of subsets of 𝒫(ℕ).
- Every univ. Baire set A of V can be naturally lifted to a univ.
 Baire set A^{V[G]} of V[G] for any forcing extension V[G] of V.

• UB^V denotes the family of universally Baire subsets of \mathbb{R}

AlgMaxST

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

27/38

- UB^V denotes the family of universally Baire subsets of ℝ existing in V.
- (modulo a Borel isomorphism) ℝ ≈ 𝒫(ℕ) ≈ 2^ℕ and UB is a family of subsets of 𝒫(ℕ).
- Every univ. Baire set A of V can be naturally lifted to a univ.
 Baire set A^{V[G]} of V[G] for any forcing extension V[G] of V.

Theorem (Woodin, 1985+Martin-Steel, 1989+ V.-Venturi, 2020)

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin's cardinals. Then the theory of

 $\langle H_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle$

is the model companion of the theory of

$$\langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, A^{V[G]} : A \in UB^V \rangle$$

for any forcing extension V[G] of V.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
00000000	00	000	00	00000	00	0000000000	000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$

Theory	degree of algebraic closure
МК	$\langle H_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \in UB^V \rangle$ is Σ_1 -elementary in $\langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, A^{V[G]} : A \in UB^V \rangle$ for all generic extension $V[G]$ of V
MK+ large cardinal axioms	The theory of $\langle H_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \in UB^V \rangle$ is the model companion of the theory of $\langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, A^{V[G]} : A \in UB^V \rangle$ for all generic extension $V[G]$ of V

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
00000000	00	000	00	00000	00	0000000000	000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$

Theory	degree of algebraic closure
МК	$\langle H_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \in UB^V \rangle$ is Σ_1 -elementary in $\langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, A^{V[G]} : A \in UB^V \rangle$ for all generic extension $V[G]$ of V
MK+ large cardinal axioms	The theory of $\langle H_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0}, A : A \in UB^V \rangle$ is the model companion of the theory of $\langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, A^{V[G]} : A \in UB^V \rangle$ for all generic extension $V[G]$ of V

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	0000000000	000

Stationary sets and the non-stationary ideal on \aleph_1

Definition

- C is a club subset of ℵ₁ if sup(C) = ℵ₁ and for all β ∉ C there is α < β such that [α,β] ∩ C is empty.
- S ⊆ ℵ₁ is stationary if for all C club subset of ℵ₁ S ∩ C is non-empty.
- NS ⊆ 𝒫 (𝔅₁) is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of 𝔅₁ (i.e. subsets disjoint from some club).
- NS is saturated if the boolean algebra \$\mathcal{P}(\vee{N}_1) \rangle_{NS}\$ has only partitions of size at most \$\vee{N}_1\$.

Theorem

- Assume NS is saturated. Then it is precipitous.
- Assume Martin's Maximum MM. Then NS is saturated.
- NS is precipitous is consistent with CH.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	0000000000	000

Stationary sets and the non-stationary ideal on \aleph_1

Definition

- C is a club subset of ℵ₁ if sup(C) = ℵ₁ and for all β ∉ C there is α < β such that [α,β] ∩ C is empty.
- S ⊆ ℵ₁ is stationary if for all C club subset of ℵ₁ S ∩ C is non-empty.
- NS ⊆ 𝒫 (𝔅₁) is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of 𝔅₁ (i.e. subsets disjoint from some club).
- NS is saturated if the boolean algebra \$\mathcal{P}(\vee{N}_1) \rangle_{NS}\$ has only partitions of size at most \$\vee{N}_1\$.

Theorem

- Assume NS is saturated. Then it is precipitous.
- Assume Martin's Maximum MM. Then NS is saturated.
- NS is precipitous is consistent with CH.



• NS $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of \aleph_1 .

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part *I*

• NS $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of \aleph_1 .

Definition

Let B be a cba. B is SSP if whenever V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^V \rangle \sqsubseteq \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{V[G]} \rangle.$

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part *I*

• NS $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of \aleph_1 .

Definition

Let B be a cba. B is SSP if whenever V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

$$\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^V \rangle \sqsubseteq \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{V[G]} \rangle.$$

Definition

Strong Bounded Martin's maximum BMM⁺⁺ holds if whenever B is an SSP cba and V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS} \rangle \prec_1 \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{V[G]} \rangle.$

AlgMaxST

イロト イヨト イヨト トヨ

• NS $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of \aleph_1 .

Definition

Let B be a cba. B is SSP if whenever V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^V \rangle \sqsubseteq \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{V[G]} \rangle.$

Definition

Strong Bounded Martin's maximum BMM⁺⁺ holds if whenever B is an SSP cba and V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

$$\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS} \rangle \prec_1 \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{V[G]} \rangle.$$

Theorem (Bagaria, Woodin) MM⁺⁺ *implies* BMM⁺⁺.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Definition

Let B be a cba. B is SSP if whenever V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^V \rangle \sqsubseteq \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{V[G]} \rangle.$

Definition

Strong Bounded Martin's maximum BMM⁺⁺ holds if whenever B is an SSP cba and V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS} \rangle <_1 \langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{V[G]} \rangle.$

Theorem (Bagaria, Woodin)

MM⁺⁺ implies BMM⁺⁺.

 MM^{++} is consistent with the existence of any axiom of large cardinals.

Basics GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST App 00000000 00 000 00 000 00 000 <

Applications of BMM⁺⁺

Assume BMM⁺⁺. Then:

- 2^{ℵ0} = ℵ₂ = ℵ₁⁺.
 Todorčević, Mathematical Research Letters, 9(2), 2006.
- Whitehead's conjecture on free groups is false, (i.e. there are uncountable Whitehead groups which are not free).

- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: There are five uncountable linear orders such that any uncountable linear order contains an isomorphic copy of one of them.
- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: All automorphisms of the Calkin algebra are inner.

GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST App 00000 00 000 00</t

Applications of BMM⁺⁺

Assume BMM⁺⁺. Then:

• $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2 = \aleph_1^+$.

Todorčević, Mathematical Research Letters, 9(2), 2006.

 Whitehead's conjecture on free groups is false, (i.e. there are uncountable Whitehead groups which are not free).

- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: There are five uncountable linear orders such that any uncountable linear order contains an isomorphic copy of one of them.
- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: All automorphisms of the Calkin algebra are inner.

S GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST App 00000 00 000 00 000 00 000<

Applications of BMM⁺⁺

Assume BMM⁺⁺. Then:

• $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2 = \aleph_1^+$.

Todorčević, Mathematical Research Letters, 9(2), 2006.

• Whitehead's conjecture on free groups is false, (i.e. there are uncountable Whitehead groups which are not free).

- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: There are five uncountable linear orders such that any uncountable linear order contains an isomorphic copy of one of them.
- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: All automorphisms of the Calkin algebra are inner.

GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST 00 000 00 000 00 0000000

Applications of BMM⁺⁺

Assume BMM⁺⁺. Then:

• $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2 = \aleph_1^+$.

Todorčević, Mathematical Research Letters, 9(2), 2006.

• Whitehead's conjecture on free groups is false, (i.e. there are uncountable Whitehead groups which are not free).

- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: There are five uncountable linear orders such that any uncountable linear order contains an isomorphic copy of one of them.
- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: All automorphisms of the Calkin algebra are inner.

GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST 00 000 00 000 00 0000000

Applications of BMM⁺⁺

Assume BMM⁺⁺. Then:

• $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2 = \aleph_1^+$.

Todorčević, Mathematical Research Letters, 9(2), 2006.

• Whitehead's conjecture on free groups is false, (i.e. there are uncountable Whitehead groups which are not free).

- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: There are five uncountable linear orders such that any uncountable linear order contains an isomorphic copy of one of them.
- THIS IS NOT KNOWN TO FOLLOW FROM BMM⁺⁺: All automorphisms of the Calkin algebra are inner.



- UB^V denotes the family of universally Baire subsets of ℝ existing in V.
- NS $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of \aleph_1 .



- UB^V denotes the family of universally Baire subsets of ℝ existing in V.
- NS $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of \aleph_1 .

Basics OF CONST AlgMaxST App CONST ALGMAX APP CONST AND APP CONST AND APP CONST A

- UB^V denotes the family of universally Baire subsets of ℝ existing in V.
- NS $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of \aleph_1 .

Definition (Woodin-Schindler?)

UB-BMM⁺⁺ holds if whenever B is an SSP cba and V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\Delta_0}, NS, A: \ A \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle {\prec_1} \langle V[G], \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\Delta_0}, NS^{V[G]}, A^{V[G]}: A \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle$



- UB^V denotes the family of universally Baire subsets of ℝ existing in V.
- NS $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ is the ideal of non-stationary subsets of \aleph_1 .

Definition (Woodin-Schindler?)

UB-BMM⁺⁺ holds if whenever B is an SSP cba and V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \epsilon_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}, \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle \prec_1 \langle \mathsf{V}[G], \epsilon_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{\mathsf{V}[G]}, \mathsf{A}^{\mathsf{V}[G]} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle$

Theorem (Woodin) MM⁺⁺ *implies* UB-BMM⁺⁺.
 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part *II*

Definition (Woodin-Schindler?)

UB-BMM⁺⁺ holds if whenever B is an SSP cba and V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}, \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle \prec_1 \langle \mathcal{V}[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{\mathcal{V}[G]}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}[G]} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle$

Theorem (Woodin)

MM⁺⁺ implies UB-BMM⁺⁺.

 $(*)_{UB}$ is a natural strengthening of Woodin's axiom (*).

Theorem (Asperó-Schindler)

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then $(\ast)_{UB}$ if and only if UB-BMM $^{++}.$

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part *II*

Definition (Woodin-Schindler?)

UB-BMM⁺⁺ holds if whenever B is an SSP cba and V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}, \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle \prec_1 \langle \mathcal{V}[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{\mathcal{V}[G]}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}[G]} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle$

Theorem (Woodin)

MM⁺⁺ implies UB-BMM⁺⁺.

 $(*)_{UB}$ is a natural strengthening of Woodin's axiom (*).

Theorem (Asperó-Schindler)

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then $(\ast)_{UB}$ if and only if UB-BMM $^{++}.$

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part *II*

Definition (Woodin-Schindler?)

UB-BMM⁺⁺ holds if whenever B is an SSP cba and V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

 $\langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}, \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle \prec_1 \langle \mathcal{V}[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{\mathcal{V}[G]}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}[G]} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle$

Theorem (Asperó-Schindler)

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then Woodin's axiom (*) holds if and only if whenever B is an SSP cba and V[G] is a forcing extension of V by B

$$\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}, A : A \text{ is in } \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})^{L(\mathbb{R})^{\vee}} \rangle$$

is Σ_1 -elementary in

 $\langle V[G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}^{V[G]}, A^{V[G]} : A \text{ is in } \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})^{L(\mathbb{R})^V} \rangle.$



Recall that ψ is a Π_2 -sentence if it is of the form $\forall \vec{x} \exists \vec{y} \phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ with $\phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ quantifier free.

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 <

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part III

Recall that ψ is a Π_2 -sentence if it is of the form $\forall \vec{x} \exists \vec{y} \phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ with $\phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ quantifier free.

In signature $\in_{\Delta_0} \neg CH$ can be formalized by the Π_2 -sentence in parameter \aleph_1 (the first uncountable ordinal/cardinal):

 $\forall f [\underbrace{f \text{ is a function}}_{\Delta_0(f)} \land \underbrace{\text{dom}(f) = \aleph_1}_{\Delta_0(f,\aleph_1)}) \to \exists r (\underbrace{r \subseteq \mathbb{N}}_{\Delta_0(r,\mathbb{N})} \land \underbrace{r \notin \text{ran}(f)}_{\Delta_0(r,f)}]$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

33/38

Note that $\aleph_1 \in H_{\aleph_2}$.

 Basics
 GP
 LC
 FA
 AlgCl
 FormST
 AlgMaxST
 App

 00000000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 <

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part III

Recall that ψ is a Π_2 -sentence if it is of the form $\forall \vec{x} \exists \vec{y} \phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ with $\phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ quantifier free.

Theorem (Woodin)

Assume Vopenka's principle, <u>Sealing</u>, and NS is precipitous. TFAE:

- (*)_{UB} (or UB-BMM⁺⁺).
- For any Π_2 -sentences ψ for $\in_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}\} \cup \{\mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V\}$

$$\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle \models \psi$$

if and only if ψ is true in $H_{\aleph_2}^{V[G]}$ for some forcing extension V[G] of V.



Theorem (Woodin)

Assume Vopenka's principle, <u>Sealing</u>, and NS is precipitous. TFAE:

- (*)_{UB} (or UB-BMM⁺⁺).
- For any Π₂-sentences ψ for ∈_{Δ0} ∪ {ℵ₁, NS} ∪ {A : A ∈ UB^V} (among which ¬CH and a strong form of 2^{ℵ0} = ℵ₂)

$$\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle \models \psi$$

if and only if ψ is true in $H_{\aleph_2}^{V[G]}$ for some forcing extension V[G] of V.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	000000000000	000

Theorem (Woodin)

Assume Vopenka's principle, <u>Sealing</u>, and NS is precipitous. TFAE:

- (*)_{UB} (or UB-BMM⁺⁺).
- For any Π_2 -sentences ψ for $\in_{\Delta_0} \cup \{\aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}\} \cup \{\mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V\}$

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle \models \psi$$

if and only if ψ is true in $H_{\aleph_2}^{V[G]}$ for some forcing extension V[G] of V.

Sealing can be removed by replacing UB with $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})^N$ for some nice inner model *N* of determinacy in the formulation of BMM^{*++} and in the relevant spots.



Theorem (V.)

Assume Vopenka's principle, <u>Sealing</u>, and NS is precipitous. TFAE:

- (*)_{UB} (or UB-BMM⁺⁺).
- The theory T of the structure

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle$$

is the model companion of the theory S of the structure

$$\langle V, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, A : A \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

34/38



Theorem (V.)

Assume Vopenka's principle, <u>Sealing</u>, and NS is precipitous. TFAE:

- (*)_{UB} (or UB-BMM⁺⁺).
- The theory T of the structure

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle$$

is the model companion of the theory S of the structure

$$\langle V, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, A : A \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

34/38
Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
00000000	00	000	00	00000	00	0000000000	000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part III

Theorem (V.)

Assume Vopenka's principle, <u>Sealing</u>, and NS is precipitous. TFAE:

- (*)_{UB} (or UB-BMM⁺⁺).
- The theory T of the structure

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^{\mathsf{V}} \rangle$$

is the model companion of the theory S of the structure

$$\langle V, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle.$$

Letting S_{∀∨∃} be the boolean combination of existential sentences which are in S, and ψ be a Π₂-sentence,
 M models ψ if and only ψ + S_{∀∨∃} is consistent.

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part III

Theorem (V.)

Assume Vopenka's principle, <u>Sealing</u>, and NS is precipitous. TFAE:

- (*)_{UB} (or UB-BMM⁺⁺).
- For any Π₂-sentences ψ

 $\langle H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathsf{NS}, \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^{\mathsf{V}} \rangle \models \psi$

if and only if ψ is true in $H_{\aleph_2}^{V[G]}$ for some forcing extension V[G] of V. if and only if $\psi + S_{VV\exists}$ is consistent where S is the theory of the structure

 $\langle V, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, A : A \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	Арр
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Algebraic maximality for $\mathcal{P}(\aleph_1)$ part III

Theorem (V.)

Assume Vopenka's principle, <u>Sealing</u>, and NS is precipitous. TFAE:

- (*)_{UB} (or UB-BMM⁺⁺).
- The theory T of the structure

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, \mathsf{NS}, \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{UB}^V \rangle$$

is the model companion of the theory S of the structure

$$\langle V, \in_{\Delta_0}, \aleph_1, NS, A : A \in UB^V \rangle.$$

Letting S_{∀∨∃} be the boolean combination of existential sentences which are in S, and ψ be a Π₂-sentence,
 M models ψ if and only ψ + S_{∀∨∃} is consistent.

Sealing can be removed if one replaces UB^V with $\mathcal{P}(R)^{L(\operatorname{Ord}^{\mathbb{N}})}$ in the formulation of BMM*++ and in the relevant spots.

asics	GP oo	LC 000	FA 00	AlgCl	FormST 00	AlgMaxST 0000000000●	App
		Algebi	raic m	aximalit	y for $\mathcal{P}($	ℵ ₁)	
The	ory	d	egree of	algebraic	closure	,	
МК		<pre> </pre>	$\mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0},$ is a <i>subst</i> $\mathcal{I}[G], \in_{\Delta_0}$ or all gen	NS, A : A e ructure of , NS ^{V[G]} , A peric extens	E UB ^V > ^{V[G]} : A ∈ U sion V[G] of	B ^V ⟩ V by an <mark>SSP</mark> -forc	cing
MK- forci axio	⊢ ing ms	<pre> </pre>	$H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0},$ s a Σ_1 -su $/[G], \in_{\Delta_0}$ or all gen	NS, A : A e <i>ibstructure</i> , NS ^{V[G]} , A ieric extens	EUB ^V 〉 of ^{V[G]} : A ∈ U sion V[G] of	$ B^V\rangle$ V by an SSP-form	cing
MK- large axio		fo I (N ha	or all ger $/[G], \in_{\Delta_0}$ ave the s	eric extens , NS ^{V[G]} , A ame mode	ion V[G] of ^{V[G]} : A ∈ L I companio	V the theories of $ B^V\rangle$	
MK- large forci axio		fo Is + ha	or all ger $\mathcal{I}[G], \in_{\Delta_0}$ ave as m $\mathcal{H}^V_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0},$	eric extens , NS ^{$V[G]$} , A odel comp NS ^{V} , A ^{V} : A	tion $V[G]$ of $V[G]$: $A \in U$ contion the f $A \in UB^V$ ↓ □ ↓	^T V the theories of JB ^V > theory of	 € •⁄) <

Theory	d	earee of	algebraic	closure	
,		$\exists A_{N_{0}}, \in A_{0}, N$	IS. <i>A</i> ∶ <i>A</i> ∈	UB ^V >	
MK	is	s a substr	ucture of	,	
	<1	$V[G], \in \Delta_0,$	NS ^{V[G]} , A	^{/[G]} : A ∈ UE	$\langle V \rangle$
	fo	or all gene	eric extens	ion V <mark>[G]</mark> of	V by an <mark>SSP</mark> -forc
	 	$H_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathbb{N}$	IS , A : A ∈	UB ^V >	
MK+	is	s a Σ ₁ -sul	bstructure	of	
forcing	\}	$V[G], \in_{\Delta_0},$	$NS^{V[G]}, A^{V}$	^{∕[G]} : A ∈ UE	$\langle S^{V} \rangle$
axioms	fo	or all gene	eric extens	ion V[G] of	V by an <mark>SSP</mark> -forc
					v the theories of
	nal <\	$V[G], \in_{\Delta_0},$	$NS^{\nu[G]}, A$	^{/[G]} : A ∈ UI	
	nal <\ ha	$V[G], \in \Delta_0,$ ave the sate	NS ^{r[0]} , A ame mode	$C[G] : A \in U[$ companio	B ^v ⟩ n theory
large cardi axioms	nal () ha	$V[G], \in_{\Delta_0},$ ave the satisfies a set of the satisfies C and C	$NS^{V[G]}, A$ ame mode eric extens	$[G] : A \in U[$ companio fon $V[G]$ of	B^{ν} n theory <i>V</i> the theories of B^{ν}
MK+ large cardi axioms MK+	nal () ha fo	$V[G], \in_{\Delta_0},$ ave the satisfies a set of all generation $V[G], \in_{\Delta_0},$	NS ^{V(G)} , A ame mode eric extens NS ^{V[G]} , A	$[G] : A \in U[$ I companio I companio I for V[G] of $I \text{ for } A \in U[$	B^{ν} n theory <i>V</i> the theories of B^{ν}
MK+ large cardi axioms MK+ large cardi	nal () ha fo () nals + ha	$V[G], \in_{\Delta_0},$ ave the sa or all gene $V[G], \in_{\Delta_0},$ ave as mo	NS ^{V[G]} , A ame mode eric extens NS ^{V[G]} , A odel comp	$I^{[G]} : A \in U[$ companio ion $V[G]$ of $I^{[G]} : A \in U[$ anion the the	$\left. \begin{array}{l} \left. $
MK+ large cardi axioms MK+ large cardi forcing	nal (1) ha fo (1) nals + ha (F)	$V[G], \in_{\Delta_0},$ ave the satisfies the satisfiest of all generation $V[G], \in_{\Delta_0},$ ave as mo $\mathcal{H}^V_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \mathbb{N}$	NS ^{V[6]} , A ame mode eric extens NS ^{V[G]} , A odel comp $S^V, A^V : A$	$\begin{array}{l} \left[I \in \mathcal{O} \right] : A \in \mathcal{O} \\ I \text{ companio} \\ I \text{ companio} \\ I \text{ companion} \\ I \text{ company} \\ I \in \mathcal{O} \\ I \text{ company} \\ I \in \mathcal{O} \\ I \text{ company} \\ I \in \mathcal{O} \\ I \text{ company} \\ I c$	$\left. \begin{array}{l} \left. $

asics	GP oo	LC 000	FA oo	AlgCl	FormST 00	AlgMaxST ○○○○○○○○○○●	App
		Alaebr	aic m	aximalit	v for $\mathcal{P}($	81)	
The	ory	de	egree of	algebraic	closure		
МК	-	⟨ <i>F</i> is ⟨ <i>V</i> fo	$\mathcal{H}_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \\ = a \ substitution $	NS, A : A e tructure of , NS ^{V[G]} , A heric extens	E UB ^V 〉 ^{V[G]} : A ∈ L sion V[G] o	IB ^V) f V by an <mark>SSP</mark> -ford	cing
MK- forci axio	+ ing oms	<pre> </pre>	$f_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0}, \\ a \Sigma_1 - su$ $f(G], \in_{\Delta_0}, \\ or all ger$	NS, A : A e ubstructure , NS ^{V[G]} , A aeric extens	E UB ^V > of ^{V[G]} : A ∈ L sion V[G] o	IB ^V) f V by an <mark>SSP</mark> -ford	cing
MK- larg axio	+ e cardina oms	fc II ⟨V ha	or all ger $\prime [G], \in_{\Delta_0}$ ave the s	neric extens , NS ^{V[G]} , A ame mode	sion V[G] o ^{v[G]} : A ∈ l el compani	f <i>V</i> the theories of JB ^V λ on theory	
MK- larg forc	+ e cardina ing	fo ۷ ۱ls + ha ۲	or all ger $[G], \in_{\Delta_0}$ ave as m $d_{\aleph_2}^V, \in_{\Delta_0},$	neric extens , NS ^{V[G]} , A nodel comp NS ^V , A ^V : A	sion $V[G]$ or $V[G]$: $A \in \mathbb{C}$ continuation the $A \in \mathbb{UB}^V$	f V the theories of $JB^V angle$ theory of	
					< □ >		≣ ∽্ৎ 35/3

cs 000000	GP oo	LC 000	FA oo	AlgCl	FormST 00	AlgMaxST ooooooooooo●	Aj
		Algebr	aic m	aximalit	y for $\mathcal{P}($	8 ₁)	
The	ory	de	egree of	algebraic	closure		
		< <i>F</i>	$f_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0},$	NS, A : A e	ε UB ^V >		
MK		is	a subst	<i>ructure</i> of			
		\\ \	$G, \in \Delta_0$,,NS ^{V[G]} ,A	$V[G]$: $A \in L$	JB ^V ⟩	
		fc	or all ger	eric extens	sion V <mark>[G]</mark> o	f V by an <mark>SSP</mark> -ford	ing
		< <i>F</i>	$f_{\aleph_2}, \in_{\Delta_0},$	NS, A : A e	E UB ^V >		
MK-	+	is	a Σ ₁ -sι	ubstructure	of		
forci	ing	\/	∕ <mark>[G]</mark> ,∈ _{∆₀}	,NS ^{V[G]} ,A	$V[G]$: $A \in L$	JB ^V >	
axio	ms	fc	or all ger	eric extens	sion V[G] o	f V by an <mark>SSP</mark> -ford	ing
MK-	+	fc	or all ger	eric extens	sion V <mark>[G]</mark> o	f V the theories of	
large	e cardina	l ⟨\	$G, \in \Delta_0$,NS ^{V[G]} ,A	$V[G]$: $A \in l$	JB ^V >	
axio	ms	ha	ave the s	ame mode	l compani	on theory	
		fc	or all ger	eric extens	sion V[G] o	f V the theories of	
MK-	+	\/	$G, \in \Delta_0$,NS ^{V[G]} ,A	$V[G]$: $A \in l$	JB ^V >	
large	e cardina	lls + ha	ave as m	odel comp	banion the	theory of	
forci	ing		$f_{\mathbf{N}_{0}}^{V}, \in_{\Delta_{0}},$	$NS^V, A^V : A$	$A \in UB^V$	-	
axio	ms		112 0		• • • •	(月)(日)(日)(日)	≜ ∽
							35

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
00000000	00	000	oo	00000	00	00000000000	●00

Section 8

Appendixes

<ロト 4 部 ト 4 臣 ト 4 臣 ト 臣 の Q () 36/38

ics GP LC FA AlgCl FormST AlgMaxST

Appendix 1: Sealing

Definition (Woodin)

Given $(\mathcal{D}, W, \in_{\Delta_0})$ transitive model of MK, let N^W be the set $\mathcal{P}(H_{\aleph_1})^{L(UB)^W}$, where $L(UB)^W$ is the smallest transitive model of ZF containing UB^W.

(A weak form of) Sealing holds in a model (C, V, \in_{Δ_0}) of MK+*enough large cardinals* if whenever V[G] is a forcing extension of V and V[H] a forcing extension of V[G] we have that

$$\left(N^{V[G]},H^{V[G]}_{\aleph_1},\in_{\Delta_0}\right) < \left(N^{V[H]},H^{V[H]}_{\aleph_1},\in_{\Delta_0}\right).$$

Theorem (Woodin)

Assume V models κ is supercompact and there are class many Woodin cardinals. Let V[H] be a generic extension of V where κ is countable. Then sealing holds in V[H]. adA

adA

Appendix 1: Sealing

Definition (Woodin)

Given $(\mathcal{D}, W, \in_{\Delta_0})$ transitive model of MK, let N^W be the set $\mathcal{P}(H_{\aleph_1})^{L(\mathsf{UB})^W}$, where $L(\mathsf{UB})^W$ is the smallest transitive model of ZF containing UB^W .

(A weak form of) Sealing holds in a model (C, V, \in_{Δ_0}) of MK+enough large cardinals if whenever V[G] is a forcing extension of V and V[H] a forcing extension of V[G] we have that

$$\big(N^{V[G]},H^{V[G]}_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0}\big) < \big(N^{V[H]},H^{V[H]}_{\aleph_1}, \in_{\Delta_0}\big).$$

LC FA AlgCl 0 000 00 00000

AlgMaxST 00000000000

App

Appendix 1: Sealing

Definition (Woodin)

Given $(\mathcal{D}, W, \in_{\Delta_0})$ transitive model of MK, let N^W be the set $\mathcal{P}(H_{\aleph_1})^{L(UB)^W}$, where $L(UB)^W$ is the smallest transitive model of ZF containing UB^W.

(A weak form of) Sealing holds in a model (C, V, \in_{Δ_0}) of MK+*enough large cardinals* if whenever V[G] is a forcing extension of V and V[H] a forcing extension of V[G] we have that

$$\big(N^{V[G]},H^{V[G]}_{\aleph_1},\in_{\Delta_0}\big)<\big(N^{V[H]},H^{V[H]}_{\aleph_1},\in_{\Delta_0}\big).$$

Theorem (Woodin)

Assume V models κ is supercompact and there are class many Woodin cardinals. Let V[H] be a generic extension of V where κ is countable. Then sealing holds in V[H].

Basics	GP	LC	FA	AlgCl	FormST	AlgMaxST	App
0000000	00	000	00	00000	00	00000000000	000

Appendix 2: Some references A few surveys on Gödel's program and the Continuum problem:

- J. Bagaria, *Natural axioms on set theory and the continuum problem*, CRM Preprint, 591, 2004.
- P. Koellner, On the question of absolute undecidability, in Kurt Gödel: essays for his centennial, Lect. Notes Log. 33, 2010.
- G. Venturi and M. Viale, What model companionship can say about the Continuum problem, arXiv:2204.13756, 2022.
- M. Viale, Strong forcing axioms and the continuum problem, in Séminaire Bourbaki. Volume 2022/2023. Exposés 1197–1211, 2023, (SMF).
- W. H. Woodin, *The Continuum hypothesis Part I*, Notices of AMS, 48(6), 2001.
- W. H. Woodin, *The Continuum hypothesis Part II*, Notices of AMS, 48(7), 2001.